```
1 remember that?
```

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 MR. CARROLL: All right. I have
- 4 this document. For identification, Your Honor,
- 5 this would be Comcast Exhibit 307, which is
- 6 Bates numbers NFL-E0945667 through 72. The
- 7 first page is headed "NFL Network Update
- 8 Annual Meeting March 26, 2007, Phoenix,
- 9 Arizona." Can I distribute this, Your Honor?
- 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. What was the
- 11 number you're assigning that?
- MR. CARROLL: Comcast 307, Your
- 13 Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Number 307. And,
- 15 as you have indicated, it's so identified for
- 16 the record as Comcast 307 for identification.
- 17 (WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT REFERRED
- TO WAS MARKED AS COMCAST EXHIBIT
- 19 307 FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead.
- MR. CARROLL: Thank you.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- BY MR. CARROLL:
- 3 Q And, do you recognize this as
- 4 another one of those slide decks that was put
- 5 together to give the Owners an update on the
- 6 NFL Network in connection with your annual
- 7 meeting in March of 2007?
- 8 A Yes, it appears to be, sir.
- 9 MR. CARROLL: Okay. Move this
- 10 into evidence, Your Honor.
- 11 MR. LEVY: No objection, Your
- 12 Honor.
- 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Then Comcast
- 14 Exhibit 307, as identified, is now received in
- 15 evidence as 307.
- 16 (WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT REFERRED
- TO, PREVIOUSLY MARKED COMCAST
- 18 EXHIBIT NO. 307 FOR
- 19 IDENTIFICATION, WAS RECEIVED IN
- 20 EVIDENCE.)
- BY MR. CARROLL:
- 22 Q So, this is now March of 2007. If

- 1 you flip over to page 4 first of the exhibit,
- 2 ends in Bates number 670.
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q The slide has the page number 4,
- 5 here we have a "Subscriber Outlook" page.
- 6 Correct?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q And here, again, this is now, I
- 9 guess, being done in March of `07, so it's
- 10 looking back to `06, based on what's happened
- 11 in `06. Is that right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And then projecting forward for
- 14 `07. Yes?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. So, when we look at what
- 17 happened in `06, Comcast subscribers listed
- 18 were just under subscribers.
- 19 Correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And that was real close to your
- 22 original plan for them. That was what,

- 1 what is that,
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q It
- 4 was versus your original plan of
- 5 Correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q But that's not because of any
- 8 tiering that's happened, because there hadn't
- 9 been any tiering yet. Correct?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q Okay. So, you had no -- at the
- 12 time, there was no accusations you were making
- 13 that Comcast had done anything wrong to get to
- 14 that distribution level. Correct?
- 15 A In other words, that Comcast had
- done something to manipulate the shortfall?
- 17 Q No. Comcast had complied with
- 18 their contract obligations in meeting that
- 19 distribution figure that we're looking at on
- 20 this page.
- 21 A Well, Comcast had not moved the
- 22 NFL Network off D2.

- 1 Q Right.
- 2 A It had announced in September that
- 3 it planned to do so, but that's a different
- 4 question.
- 5 Q It hadn't done it.
- 6 A It had not done so.
- 7 Q So, you were still getting your D2
- 8 distribution.
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q Okay. And then, for Time Warner,
- 11 we still have a zero.
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Charter, we still have a zero.
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q Cablevision, we still have a zero.
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And Mediacom, we have a zero. I
- 18 didn't see them on the earlier one, but
- 19 Mediacom is now on zero. Is that right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Is Mediacom -- did Mediacom have
- 22 an objection to the price at this point?

- 1 A I don't know.
- 2 Q But it's correct, they weren't
- 3 carrying you either.
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q These cable companies are listed
- 6 because these are among -- these are the
- 7 leading cable companies in the country.
- 8 Right?
- 9 A Yes. They're the top eight or ten
- 10 cable companies in the country.
- 11 Q And Comcast is the best of all of
- 12 them. It's given you almost
- 13 distribution a year, and almost all the other
- 14 leaders are giving you nothing. Correct?
- MR. LEVY: Objection;
- 16 argumentative.
- 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, I'll sustain
- 18 the objection.
- 19 BY MR. CARROLL:
- 20 Q Comcast is the leading distributor
- 21 amongst cable companies on this chart.
- 22 Correct?

- 1 A Comcast is the largest distributor
- 2 among cable companies on the chart.
- 3 Q One, two, three, four of the other
- 4 listed leading companies are giving you zero
- 5 distribution. Correct?
- 6 A Four are listed as zero
- 7 distribution. Correct.
- 8 Q Insight is listed as
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And Cox is listed at
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q Okay. Did I hear you say early in
- 13 your testimony that the majority of the MVPDs,
- 14 I think that is in the glossary, that the
- 15 majority of the nation's MVPDs were carrying
- 16 the NFL Network?
- 17 A I don't believe I said that.
- 18 O That would not be a true
- 19 statement, would it?
- 20 A No. There are 10,000 cable
- 21 companies throughout the country, I would
- 22 think.

- 1 Q About 10,000?
- 2 A Might be lower than that, but it's
- 3 north of a thousand.
- Q Of that 10,000, how many, in fact,
- 5 are carrying NFL Network?
- 6 A Two hundred and fifty, or
- 7 thereabouts.
- 8 Q Two hundred and fifty out of the
- 9 roughly 10,000. And are you blaming Comcast
- 10 for the fact that 9,000 and some of the cable
- 11 companies aren't carrying NFL Network?
- 12 A There are certain of those cable
- 13 companies that negotiate jointly through a
- 14 cooperative. But, as of the last that I
- 15 heard, that NFL had not opened negotiations
- 16 with. We certainly can't blame Comcast for
- 17 any of that. As to some of the others, I
- 18 think that -- I would say that the timing of
- 19 Comcast's tiering announcement was
- 20 inauspicious for getting deals done.
- 21 Q Well, but, we saw that Comcast in
- 22 the prior document we looked at for `06,

- 1 Comcast hadn't said anything about tiering
- 2 yet, and you had zeroes from Time Warner, and
- 3 Charter, and Cablevision then. Correct?
- 4 A We had zeroes at that time. We
- 5 expected to do deals shortly before the
- 6 beginning of the season. Although, I will say
- 7 that it disrupted our negotiations, as opposed
- 8 to created havoc, certainly, the tiering
- 9 announcement chilled any negotiations that we
- 10 were having at that time.
- 11 Q And when Comcast signed up in `04,
- 12 it was a leader in signing up. It was one of
- 13 the first leading cable companies to sign up
- 14 to distribute you. Right?
- 15 A It was one of the first cable
- 16 companies to sign up and distribute, yes.
- 17 Q And you were actually hoping to
- 18 use Comcast to help sell the network to other
- 19 cable companies. You wanted them to help you.
- 20 Right?
- 21 A We believe that cable companies
- 22 tend to engage in pretty much follow the

- 1 leader behavior, and that if we got Comcast,
- 2 it would help us get others. That's correct.
- 3 Q But they didn't follow in `04 and
- 4 `05, even though Comcast was carrying.
- 5 Correct?
- 6 A They did not. That's correct.
- 7 Q Now, the next page in this Exhibit
- 8 307, is headed "Distributor Overview". And now
- 9 we get to that phrase I was asking you about.
- 10 Do you see in the right-hand column there are
- 11 a series of bullet points?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And, in the second bullet point,
- 14 you note some shifts. "You" being the NFL
- 15 here in this slide presentation.
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Note some shifts in underlying
- 18 cable business changes -
- 19 A "Shifts in underlying cable
- 20 business, changes the dynamics."
- 21 Q Thank you for that.
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q And that cable penetration was
- 2 actually at its seventh year low, while
- 3 satellite was up 20 percent.
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And, one of the reasons you
- 6 credited for why satellite was up, was that
- 7 they had your Sunday ticket deal, and cable
- 8 did not. Right?
- 9 A No, I think that Echo at this
- 10 time, EchoStar, which did not have Sunday
- 11 ticket was faster growing. But they did tend
- 12 to focus on video.
- 13 Q And for DirecTV, at least, who had
- 14 Sunday ticket, that was a huge selling point
- 15 that they used to drive distribution.
- 16 Correct?
- 17 A It was a major marketing tool for
- 18 them.
- 19 Q And it was a marketing tool they
- 20 used to take subscribers from cable, and bring
- 21 them over to DirecTV. Correct?
- 22 A And to sign up new households.

- 1 Yes, sir.
- 2 Q And then, at the third bullet
- 3 point we get to this phrase I'd asked about,
- 4 and the slide says, "As a result, NFL Network
- 5 programming very expensive to operators,
- 6 eroding video only margins." Have I read it
- 7 correctly?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 MR. CARROLL: Okay. You can put
- 10 that exhibit to the side, sir.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- BY MR. CARROLL:
- 13 Q Now, we saw -- I want to go back,
- if I can, to Comcast Exhibit 302. That was
- 15 the rather lengthy, maybe 50-page slide deck
- 16 for the annual meeting in `06. Do you still
- 17 have that?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q I want to ask about another page
- 20 in that. If you turn over to page 1535.
- 21 A 1535, yes.
- 22 Q 1535 in Exhibit 302, is headed,

- 1 "Key Distribution Risks and Opportunities." Is
- 2 that the page you have?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q And there are risks at the top and
- 5 opportunities at the bottom. And on the
- 6 risks, one of the risk there is Comcast tiers.
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And then you have dollar amounts
- 10 for each of the years going forward.
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q So, was this an analysis, a
- 13 financial analysis that the NFL did of what
- 14 the consequence to the NFL would be
- 15 financially if Comcast tiered?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And you had done this financial
- 18 analysis because, at this point, March of
- 19 2006, the eight games had been given to NFL
- 20 Network, and you're preparing for the
- 21 possibility that Comcast might tier.
- 22 A Preparing for the possibility that

- 1 Comcast might pass on the games and tier.
- 2 That's correct.
- 3 And this was a possibility that,
- 4 as we saw this morning, you had foreseen back
- in 2004, when you talked about the VOD rights, 5
- 6 and the possibility that Comcast might tier,
- 7 unless there were an economic disincentive to
- 8 prevent that. Do you remember that from this
- 9 morning?
- 10 I wouldn't say that I had foreseen
- the possibility, but I do remember the email. 11
- 12 Yes.

15

- 13 Q Okay. And the dollar amounts
- 14 here, this is
- 16 Yes, sir.

Α

- 17 Okay. This is what -- is that
- Is that -- it's got dollars, so is 18
- 19 that revenues, is that EBITDA, is that cash?
- 20 What is that?
- 21 Α I don't know.
- 22 Q Okay. But it's dollars. We can

- 1 agree on that.
- 2 A It's dollars.
- 3 Q So, it's
- of either above the line,
- 5 or below the line money to NFL Network that
- 6 you projected.
- 7 A Right. It's a negative variance
- 8 to plan of something, yes.
- 9 Q And the other risks that you list
- 10 under that are that maybe you won't get Time
- 11 Warner, and what that will cost you if you
- 12 don't get them?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q What will happen if you don't get
- 15 Cablevision.
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And then the next one is, "Average
- 18 Sub Fee", and it looks like minus
- 19 Is that where you're contemplating having to
- 20 drop the price by
- 21 would cost you?
- 22 A I don't know whether we were

- 1 contemplating it. I think that it's if we
- 2 ended up doing deals that resulted in a
- 3 decrease in sub fee.
- 4 Q All right. So you were
- 5 entertaining the possibility that maybe you'd
- 6 have to drop the price a little in order to
- 7 get fuller distribution of the NFL Network.
- 8 Right?
- 9 A Entertaining the possibility, we,
- 10 the NFL, me, whom. I would say that it was
- 11 identified as a possible outcome, yes.
- 12 Q Okay. It would be good business
- 13 planning, wouldn't it?
- 14 A Yes.
- To project what would it cost us
- 16 to take off of this -- the price of
- 17 this.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And this shows you what the
- 20 consequence would be if you took it down
- Is that right?
- 22 A Yes. I believe so.

- 1 Q Okay. And then underneath that,
- 2 we have "Opportunities", and the last one
- 3 listed is what would be the opportunity if you
- 4 could raise the price by
- 5 Right?
- 6 A It appears that's the case, yes.
- 7 Q Okay. Now, you calculate I want
- 8 to go back to that Comcast tiers line. You
- 9 calculated here, and I think you said in your
- 10 answer that the dollar amounts in that line
- 11 were if Comcast tiers and it doesn't take the
- 12 games. Did I hear you correctly?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Are you sure, sitting here, that
- 15 these dollar amounts tied to a model that's
- 16 based on the games not being taken by Comcast?
- 17 A I'd have to look at the detail to
- 18 tell you whether those dollar amounts tie. I
- 19 can tell you that that's when Comcast could
- 20 have tiered.
- 21 Q That's a different question. I'm
- 22 not asking your view -

- 1 A I understand.
- 2 —— as a lawyer for when my client
- 3 can tier.
- 4 A I understand.
- 5 Q I'm asking you the dollar amounts
- 6 on this page. Are you in a position to
- 7 testify under oath that these amounts
- 8 represent dollar losses in a scenario where
- 9 Comcast has not taken the games and it tiers?
- 10 A Not without going back and
- 11 checking the detail. I'm not prepared to tell
- 12 you whether those are above the line, or below
- 13 the line either.
- 14 Q Now, did you ever do a calculation
- on how much my client, Comcast, would save
- 16 financially, you calculated the sort of cost
- 17 to the NFL Network if they tiered. Did you
- 18 ever calculate what the dollar savings were to
- 19 my client if they tiered?
- 20 A Me or the NFL as both of those?
- 21 Q Actually, you, personally.
- 22 A I, personally, did the latter. As

- 1 to this, these aren't my calculations, which
- 2 is one of the reasons I can't speak to it.
- 3 Q When you say "the latter", you,
- 4 personally, did the calculation, back of the
- 5 envelope calculation for how much money
- 6 Comcast could save for itself and its
- 7 consumers if it tiered. Correct?
- 8 MR. LEVY: Objection; it's
- 9 compound.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I personally did a
- 11 calculation -
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait a minute.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll sustain that
- 15 objection.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you want to
- 18 rephrase it?
- 19 BY MR. CARROLL:
- 20 Q Did you do a calculation back of
- 21 the envelope on how much money Comcast would
- 22 save if it made a decision to tier this

- 1 network?
- 2 A I did a calculation of the
- 3 financial consequences to Comcast of tiering,
- 4 ves.
- 5 MR. CARROLL: Your Honor, for
- 6 identification, this is Comcast Exhibit 306,
- 7 one page, Bates numbers NFL-E-0934157, an
- 8 email from Mr. Hawkins to Mr. Bornstein, dated
- 9 June 18, 2007.
- 10 (WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT REFERRED
- 11 TO WAS MARKED AS COMCAST EXHIBIT
- 12 306 FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- MR. CARROLL: May I distribute?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: June 18th. Yes,
- 15 please do. June 18?
- 16 MR. CARROLL: 2007.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
- 18 BY MR. CARROLL:
- 19 Q First, let's just do an
- 20 authentication, Mr. Hawkins. This is an email
- 21 you wrote on June 18, 2007, while you were an
- 22 employee of the NFL, and sent to your boss,

- 1 Mr. Bornstein. Correct?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 MR. CARROLL: Move into evidence,
- 4 Your Honor.
- 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: It's marked and
- 6 received in evidence as Comcast Exhibit 306.
- 7 (WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT REFERRED
- 8 TO, PREVIOUSLY MARKED COMCAST
- 9 EXHIBIT NO. 306 FOR
- 10 IDENTIFICATION, WAS RECEIVED IN
- 11 EVIDENCE.)
- 12 BY MR. CARROLL:
- 13 Q Does this email set forth your
- 14 calculation that we just referred to as the
- 15 back of the envelope calculation?
- 16 A Yes, back of the envelope
- 17 financial consequences to Comcast of tiering.
- 18 Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. Now, the first thing --
- 20 let's set the context for this email. The
- 21 email in which you're setting forth this
- 22 calculation is one in which you're talking

- 1 about an issue with the Broncos, the Denver
- 2 Broncos team.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Is that right? And is the problem
- 5 that the Denver Broncos team had their own
- 6 separate deal with Comcast?
- 7 A That's the background of the
- 8 issue, yes.
- 9 Q And under -- what was that
- 10 separate deal direct between Comcast and the
- 11 Broncos for?
- 12 A I think a local sponsorship.
- 13 Q A local sponsorship, meaning that
- 14 they were a sponsor of the Broncos, and they
- were receiving advertising of some sort?
- 16 A Comcast was the official cable
- 17 company video provider, or something, of the
- 18 Denver Broncos.
- 19 Q Okay. And that upset you, that
- 20 they had done the deal with Comcast, didn't
- 21 you?
- 22 A No.

- 1 Q Okay. Well, let's read along
- 2 together. You described your call with Joe
- 3 Ellis. Who is Joe Ellis? Was he somebody
- 4 with the Broncos?
- 5 A Yes, he's their Chief Operating
- 6 Officer.
- 7 Q Mr. Ellis is the Chief Operating
- 8 Officer of the Broncos?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And you describe the call

- 1 A Given the state of play with
- 2 Comcast at the time, yes.
- 3 Q See, I didn't ask why, just



- 6 A Trying to -- I would say trying to
- 7 educate him to look at the big picture.
- 8 Q



- 12 Q And what you would him through, is
- 13 you say -- and, by the way, are the local
- 14 teams free to do their own deals with Comcast?
- 15 A Yes, they are.
- 16 Q Not all their own deals, though.
- 17 Right?
- 18 A They're free to do local
- 19 sponsorship, and advertising deals. That's
- 20 correct.
- 21 Q How about local video programming
- 22 deals? Are the local teams free to do that

- 1 with Comcast directly?
- 2 A That's a league function.
- 3 Q There was a moratorium imposed on
- 4 that, wasn't there, in 2004?
- 5 A No, there was a resolution passed
- 6 that said that all local video content deals
- 7 for VOD and certain other things were to be
- 8 sold collectively with the NFL Network.
- 9 Q Meaning that local team deals,
- 10 like the Cowboys, or the Atlantic Falcons,
- 11 couldn't do their own separate video deals
- 12 with Comcast. They would have to funnel those
- 13 deals through the NFL Network.
- 14 A The actual structure is a little
- 15 bit different than that. The actual structure
- 16 is that if the local cable carrier had a deal
- 17 with the NFL Network, then clubs could do
- 18 supplementary deals to deliver additional
- 19 content over and above that provided by the
- 20 NFL Network.
- 21 Q There were restrictions placed.
- 22 I'm trying to just do this to summarize it,

- 1 without getting into the details because of
- 2 the hour. There were restrictions that the
- 3 league imposed from the Commissioner's level
- 4 on teams, on their ability to do their
- 5 separate video deals with Comcast, and other
- 6 cable companies. Correct?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A There was a resolution passed by
- 10 the membership, as opposed to a Commissioner
- 11 edict.
- 12 Q Do you remember Commissioner
- 13 Tagliabue, himself, imposed a moratorium by
- 14 edict before that resolution was adopted?
- 15 A He might have done so for a period
- 16 of a month or two.
- 17 Q Might have? Does that mean you
- 18 remember it?
- 19 A No, that does not.
- 21 September, right after the contract was signed
- 22 with my client, Comcast?